

From: [Ex. 4 - CBI]
Sent: 3/2/2012 3:44:11 PM
To: "Cynthia Caporale" <Caporale.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov>; [Ex. 4 - CBI]
CC: [Ex. 4 - CBI] "Gary Newhart" <Newhart.Gary@epamail.epa.gov>; "Jim Ferretti" <Ferretti.Jim@epamail.epa.gov>; "John Bourbon" <Bourbon.John@epamail.epa.gov>; "John Gilbert" <Gilbert.John@epamail.epa.gov>; "Kelley Chase" <Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov>; "Sella Burchette" <Burchette.Sella@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EXTERNAL: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

I am working with [Ex. 4 - CBI] now and her response is that we would like a response even if no changes are made to complete the loop.

Thanks,

[Ex. 4 - CBI]

[Ex. 4 - CBI]

Lockheed Martin SERAS
2890 Woodbridge Ave.
Edison, NJ 08837

[Ex. 4 - CBI]

From: Cynthia Caporale [mailto:Caporale.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2012 3:34 PM
To: [Ex. 4 - CBI]
Cc: [Ex. 4 - CBI] Gary Newhart; Jim Ferretti; John Bourbon; John Gilbert; Kelley Chase; [Ex. 4 - CBI] Sella Burchette
Subject: RE: EXTERNAL: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

Kelley and [Ex. 4 - CBI]
Do you want responses when no change is made?
We agree with the response below.

Cindy
Cynthia Caporale, Chief
OASQA Laboratory Branch
U.S. EPA Region III
Environmental Science Center
Fort Meade, MD
(410) 305-2732
Fax: (410) 305-3095

From: [Ex. 4 - CBI]
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: [Ex. 4 - CBI] Gary Newhart/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, John Gilbert/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, [Ex. 4 - CBI] Sella Burchette/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Ferretti/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, John Bourbon/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/01/2012 07:50 AM
Subject: RE: EXTERNAL: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

Cynthia and Kelley,

Based on the response from the R2 Lab dated 2/21/12, the data included in Files 1202028, 1201033 P-1201028 PARTIAL 01 26 2012 1353.pdf, 120137, 1201040 P-1201028 PARTIAL 01 30 2012 1505.pdf and included in the Verification/Completeness Check issued on 2/15/12 (document SERAS-001-DSR-021512_Dimock_1) requires no additional qualification. The response was acceptable.

Ex. 4 - CBI

From: John Bourbon [mailto:Bourbon.John@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2012 1:47 PM
To: Cynthia Caporale; Ex. 4 - CBI; Kelley Chase
Cc: Ex. 4 - CBI; Gary Newhart; John Gilbert; Ex. 4 - CBI; Sella Burchette; Jim Ferretti
Subject: EXTERNAL: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

Sample Performance QC results: The earlier partial reports did not include the QC results for accuracy and precision assessment e.g., duplicate, MS, LCS. A final report was issued Friday, 2/17/12, that includes the sample performance QC results for all of the analytical batches processed for this project.

Reporting Limit (RL): The standard RL for this method is 0.10 mg/L, as stated in SOP C-61; however, it was lowered due to the project requirements. An RL of 0.010 mg/l was established prior to the start of the project. The RL was verified for each analytical batch by including a 0.01 mg/L standard as part of each calibration curve and verifying that each curve was acceptable based on the criteria stated in SOP C-61.

Holding Time (HT): The HT was met for all samples associated with this project. For the specific report issued on 1/30/12, the samples were received on Saturday, 1/28/12 and prepared and analyzed on that date, within the HT; however, the samples were officially logged in to our LIMS on Monday, 1/26/12, and that is the date officially recorded in our report as the "date received." The actual analysis date is recorded in our supporting records for this project. We apologize for the confusion. This will occur in two subsequent partial reports where samples were actually received on a Saturday, i.e., sample shipments received on 2/4/12 and 2/11/12, but the official "date received" is recorded as the following Monday, i.e., 2/6/12 and 2/13/12.

Temperature Excursion: For the sample delivery on 2/1/27/12, a temperature of 9.3C was recorded, which is above the upper limit of 6C; however, ice was still present in the sample cooler. If a temperature exceeds the upper limit, and ice is still present, no action is taken.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

-----Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: John Bourbon/R2/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US

Date: 02/21/2012 12:43PM

Subject: Fw: EXTERNAL: Re: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

Cynthia Caporale, Chief

OASQA Laboratory Branch

U.S. EPA Region III

Environmental Science Center

Fort Meade, MD

(410) 305-2732

Fax: (410) 305-3095

----- Forwarded by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 02/21/2012 12:43 PM -----

From: [Ex. 4 - CBI] >
To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: [Ex. 4 - CBI]om>, Gary Newhart/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, John
Gilbert/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, [Ex. 4 - CBI] >, Sella
Burchette/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/15/2012 09:45 AM
Subject: RE: EXTERNAL: Re: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

Good Morning Kelley and Cynthia,
I have attached the first review of the EPA R2 MBAS data. Since this is the first memo, please let me know if this format suits your needs. I will be sending you the R3 memo this morning and the R9 memo this afternoon. If you have any comments, please let me know ASAP. Thank you.

[Ex. 4 - CBI]

(See attached file: SERAS-001-DSR-021512_1.docx)
[attachment "SERAS-001-DSR-021512_1.docx" removed by John Bourbon/R2/USEPA/US]